

Final 2008 Development Assistance to Sub-Saharan Africa

	<i>2007 ODA to SSA (excluding bilateral debt relief and in 2004 US millions of dollars)</i>			<i>2004-2007 ODA Progress (ODA to SSA net of bilateral debt relief in 2004 US millions of dollars)</i>				
	Bilateral ODA	Multilate ral	Total	2004 Total SSA ODA**	2004-2007 increase	2010 Total SSA ODA goal	% of 2010 goal increases accomplished	Revised Overall Assessment
Canada	598	329	927	832	95	1500	14.2%	Despite a diminished baseline that weakened its overall commitment, Canada remains off track to deliver on its ODA commitment. Between 2006 and 2007, Canada reduced its ODA to SSA.
France	1872	1446	3318	2542	776	7529	15.6%	Despite better than expected final ODA figures, France remains off track to reach its commitment to Africa.
Germany	1086	1692	2779	2234	545	6204	13.7%	Germany remains off track in 2007 despite posting significant improvements in ODA to Africa. Budget projections for 2008 show another significant increase, but these increases will have to grow faster than they are to reach the 2010 target.
Italy	182	963	1145	1139	6	4793	0.1%	Italy remains hugely off track on its hugely ambitious target. Italy's ODA to Africa is nearly the same as it was in 2005 when the commitment was made at Gleneagles.
Japan*	956	706	1662	561 (1716)	395 (54)	1122	70.4%	Final figures necessitate a change in progress report for Japan. They have not met their Gleneagles commitment.
UK	1953	1614	3567	2600	967	6508	24.7%	The UK remains off track towards in 2010 commitment, but budget analysis of the Comprehensive Spending Review show that it is likely the UK will meet its commitment by 2010.
US	4181	1355	5536	4833	703	8800	17.7%	The United States is currently off track on its ODA commitment as compared to a straight line trajectory. Despite this, ONE estimates that it has sufficient resources in the pipeline to meet its commitment by 2010.

G7 Total	10828	8105	18934	15770	3164	37568	14.5%	
DAC Total	15782	11728	27511	23548	3963	49644	15.2%	

*Japan's commitment was to double only bilateral ODA between 2003 and 2007 using a base of \$561 million US in 2004 prices. The figures in parentheses in the 2004 – 2007 progress columns show total ODA (multilateral and bilateral) in parentheses and bilateral figures corresponding to their commitment as the top number. The 2010 goal column is only a bilateral figure as Japan made no total ODA commitment. This 2010 goal represents the goal made at the Gleneagles Summit in 2005 and does not include the new commitment made at TICAD in May 2008.

** In order to more fairly calculate a baseline for the G8's commitment to Africa, ONE calculates the multilateral component for the 2004 baseline by averaging 2004 and 2005 ODA figures for multilateral ODA to sub-Saharan Africa. This reduces the risk that periodic replenishments of multilateral banks will skew higher or lower the baseline for 2004.